

Draft summary of discussions.

- Before lunch: fairly broad based agreement on a tiered approach where the accuracy was to be quantified for each tier.
 - Include minimum requirements in terms of e.g. sensor accuracy and accuracy range and/or method for quantifying “resulting accuracy”
- After lunch, appeared to be agreement on a basic set of requirements
 - Integrity: objective and transparent
 - Reliable, inc. quantifiable uncertainty
 - Verifiable
 - Easy to understand (user friendly / intuitive), and not open to interpretation
- Quite a few argued that the standard should be purpose independent
 - Provide the tools and leave it to stakeholders to use these tools where relevant and applicable
- Some argued that the standard should not/would not have to distinguish between applicability for short (instantaneous) and longer term (average) performance.
- Finally, some argued that the standard should also include guidelines for establishing baselines, while others argued that it should not/the tiers would be sufficient.